

(3) For Boeing material identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Contractual & Data Services (C&DS), 2600 Westminister Blvd., MC 110–SK57, Seal Beach, CA 90740–5600; telephone 562–797–1717; website [myboeingfleet.com](http://myboeingfleet.com).

(4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

(5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit [www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations](http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations) or email [fr.inspection@nara.gov](mailto:fr.inspection@nara.gov).

Issued on March 10, 2025.

**John P. Piccola, Jr.,**

*Director, Integrated Certificate Management Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2025–04089 Filed 3–13–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 73

[Docket No. FDA–2025–C–0380]

#### Impossible Foods, Inc.; Filing of Color Additive Petition

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notification of petition.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or we) is announcing that we have filed a petition, submitted by Impossible Foods, Inc., proposing that the color additive regulations be amended to expand the safe use of soy leghemoglobin as a color additive to include use in plant-based meat, poultry, and fish analogue products (ground and whole cut).

**DATES:** The color additive petition was filed on March 7, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document into the “Search” box and follow the prompts, and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ellen Anderson, Human Foods Program, Food and Drug Administration, 5001 Campus Dr., College Park, MD 20740, 240–402–1309.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under section 721(d)(1) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 379e(d)(1)), we are giving notice that we have filed a color additive petition (CAP 5C0336), submitted on behalf of Impossible Foods, Inc. by Exponent, 1150 Connecticut Ave. NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20036. The petition proposes to amend the color additive regulations in 21 CFR 73.520, “Soy leghemoglobin,” to expand the safe use of soy leghemoglobin to include use in plant-based meat, poultry, and fish analogue products (ground and whole cut).

The petitioner has claimed that this action is categorically excluded under 21 CFR 25.32(k) because the substance is intended to remain in food through ingestion by consumers and is not intended to replace macronutrients in food. In addition, the petitioner has stated that, to their knowledge, no extraordinary circumstances exist (see 21 CFR 25.21). If FDA determines a categorical exclusion applies, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required. If FDA determines a categorical exclusion does not apply, we will request an environmental assessment and make it available for public inspection.

Dated: March 7, 2025.

**P. Ritu Nalubola,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2025–04034 Filed 3–13–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4164–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2024–1098]

RIN 1625–AA87

**Security Zones; Tampa Bay; Big Bend, Boca Grande, Crystal River, East Bay, Hillsborough Bay, MacDill Air Force Base, Manbirtee Key, Old Port Tampa, Port Manatee, Port Tampa, Port St. Petersburg, Port Sutton, Rattlesnake, and Weedon Island, FL**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is proposing to disestablish the existing security zone for Rattlesnake, Tampa, FL in old Tampa Bay, including on land portions of the Chemical Formulators Chlorine Facility. Since the implementation of

the regulation, the facility has permanently ceased operations making the provisions of the security zone no longer applicable. This proposed action would remove existing regulations that restrict vessel movement through the area. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before April 14, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2024–1098 using the Federal Decision-Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments. This notice of proposed rulemaking with its plain-language, 100-word-or-less proposed rule summary will be available in this same docket.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email Lieutenant Ryan McNaughton, Sector St. Petersburg, Ports & Waterways Branch Chief, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (813) 228–2191 ext. 8142, email [Ryan.A.McNaughton@uscg.mil](mailto:Ryan.A.McNaughton@uscg.mil).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
COTP Captain of the Port Sector St. Petersburg  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### **II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis**

In February 2024, the Coast Guard was notified that Chemical Formulators Chlorine Facility was no longer in operation. The purpose of this rulemaking is to disestablish a security zone described in 33 CFR 165.703(a)(1)(i); in certain waters of Old Tampa Bay, Tampa, FL. With the closure of Chemical Formulators Chlorine facility, the security zone is no longer necessary. The Coast Guard is proposing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034.

##### **III. Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The Coast Guard is proposing to disestablish the security zone for facilities and structures; Rattlesnake, Tampa, FL in § 165.703(a)(1)(i). The regulation places unnecessary restrictions on vessel movement in Old Tampa Bay. The regulatory text we are