

disaster compels immediate action to protect life and property and to restore order.

§ 808.10 Military Commanders' responsibilities.

(a) Civilians in the affected area will be informed of the rules of conduct and other restrictive measures to be enforced by the military. These will be announced by local proclamation or order, and will be given the widest publicity by all available media.

(b) Persons not normally subject to military law, who are taken into custody by military forces incident to civil disturbances, will be turned over to the civil authorities as soon as possible.

(c) Military forces will ordinarily exercise police powers previously inoperative in an affected area; restore and maintain order; maintain essential transportation and communication; and provide necessary relief measures.

(d) U.S. Air Force civilian employees may be used, in any assignments in which they are capable and willing to serve. In planning for on-base contingencies of fires, floods, hurricanes, and other natural disasters, arrangements should be made for the identification and voluntary use of individual employees to the extent that the needs for their services are anticipated.

§ 808.11 Procedures outside the United States.

It is Air Force policy to make every reasonable effort to avoid any confrontation between United States military forces and host nation demonstrators or other dissidents posing a threat to Air Force resources. Intervention by United States military personnel outside the United States is governed by international law, bilateral and other international agreements to which the United States is a party, and host-nation laws. Local plans to counter such situations must include provisions to request and obtain host nation civil or military support as quickly as possible.

Janet A. Long,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-17474 Filed 7-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-05-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD08-01-003]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Terrebonne Bayou, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to change the operating schedules for the Howard Avenue bridge across Terrebonne Bayou, mile 35.0, at Houma, Terrebonne Parish, LA. The proposed rule would place this bridge on the same operating schedule as the Daigleville Bridge, mile 35.5, to facilitate the flow of vehicular traffic during rush hours while still meeting the reasonable needs of navigation. The new schedule will provide a safe, continuous vessel passage through the draws. This action is expected to relieve the bridge owner from the requirement to separately man each bridge by using roving drawtenders to operate the bridges when necessary.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before September 10, 2001.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments to Commander (obc), Eighth Coast Guard District, 501 Magazine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130-3396, or deliver them to room 1313 at the same address above between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Administration Branch maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at the Bridge Administration Branch, Eighth Coast Guard District between 7 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Frank, Bridge Administration Branch, at the address given above, or telephone (504) 589-2965.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

The Coast Guard encourages interested parties to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments. Persons submitting

comments should include their names and addresses, identify this rulemaking (CGD08-01-003), and the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and attachments in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you would like confirmation of receipt of your comments, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of comments received.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. You may submit a request for a public meeting by writing to the Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Administration Branch at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why a public meeting would be beneficial. If we determine that a public meeting would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place to be announced by notice in the **Federal Register**.

Background and Purpose

The Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking on March 19, 2001 (66 FR 15373). The proposed rule would have permitted the draws of the S3087 bridge, the Howard Avenue bridge, and the Daigleville bridge to open on signal if at least four hours notice is given, except that, the draw need not open for the passage of vessels Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays, from 6 a.m. to 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. to 6 p.m.

Comments received prompted the Coast Guard to reevaluate the proposal. Two letters were received in response to the public notice. The Louisiana Department of Agriculture offered no comments. Mr. Richard Block of the Gulf Coast Mariners Association stated that the changes requested were unacceptable as proposed. These letters were forwarded to the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LDOTD) for their reevaluation.

LDOTD responded to the Coast Guard with a new proposal. They determined that the special operating regulations for the S3087 bridge and the Daigleville bridge would remain unchanged and they would only request a change to the operation of the Howard Avenue Bridge. They requested that the Howard Avenue bridge be operated on a similar schedule to the Daigleville bridge which is 0.5 miles upstream of the Howard Avenue bridge. As the Howard Avenue bridge is

located between the Daigleville bridge and the S3087 bridge, the requirement for opening the bridge on signal is not needed as the bridge is located between two bridges with special operating regulations.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

Currently, all three drawbridges, the S3087 Bridge (33 CFR 117.505(c)), the Howard Avenue Bridge, and the Daigleville Bridge (33 CFR 117.505(d)) across Terrebonne Bayou are required to open on signal during the day. However, both the S3087 Bridge and Daigleville Bridge have drawbridge operation regulations that require a four-hour advance notice be given. The S3087 Bridge will open on signal if at least four hours notice is given from 5 p.m. to 9 a.m. The Daigleville Bridge will open on signal if at least four hours notice is given from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m. The Daigleville Bridge is also allowed to remain closed-to-navigation Monday through Friday, except holidays, from 7 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. to 6 p.m.

The Coast Guard proposes to change the regulation in 33 CFR 117.505 to require the draw of the Howard Avenue bridge to open on signal; except that, the draw need not open for the passage of vessels Monday through Friday except holidays from 7 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. to 6 p.m. From 10 p.m. to 6 a.m., the draw shall open on signal if at least four hours notice is given.

The Howard Avenue bridge is located between the Daigleville bridge and the S3087 bridge. These bridges have existing special operating regulations that are more restrictive than the open on signal requirement imposed upon the Howard Avenue bridge. LDO TD now wishes to have the operating schedule of the Howard Avenue bridge conform to the requirement of the Daigleville bridge. The Coast Guard has determined that the request by the bridge owner, to have the Howard Avenue bridge operate on the same schedule as the Daigleville bridge is reasonable and meets the needs of navigation.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposed rule

to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

This proposed rule allows commercial fishing vessels ample opportunity to transit this waterway before and after the peak vehicular traffic period which occurs between 7 a.m. and 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. and 6 p.m. according to the vehicle traffic surveys.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed rule has considered the needs of the local commercial fishing vessels and it has been determined that, under 5 U.S.C. 605(b), it would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this proposed rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the proposed rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the Bridge Administration Branch, Eighth Coast Guard District at the address above.

Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13132 and have determined that this proposed rule would not have implications for federalism under that Order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This proposed rule would not impose an unfunded mandate.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not economically significant and does not cause an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant

energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that, under figure 2-1, paragraph 32(e), of Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, this proposed rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Part 117 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. In § 117.505, paragraph (d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 117.505 Terrebonne Bayou.

* * * * *

(d) The draws of the Howard Avenue bridge, mile 35.0, and the Daigleville bridge, mile 35.5, at Houma, shall open on signal; except that, the draws need not open for the passage of vessels Monday through Friday, except holidays from 7 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. to 6 p.m. From 10 p.m. to 6 a.m., the draws shall open on signal if at least four hours notice is given.

* * * * *

Dated: June 28, 2001.

Roy J. Casto,

RADM, USCG, Commander, 8th CG District.
[FR Doc. 01-17393 Filed 7-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD01-01-095]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Shrewsbury River, NJ

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to temporarily change the drawbridge operating regulations governing the operation of the Monmouth County highway bridge, at mile 4.0, across the Shrewsbury River at Sea Bright, New Jersey. This proposed temporary change to the drawbridge operation regulations would allow the bridge owner to open the bridge at 6 a.m., 10 a.m., 2 p.m., and 6 p.m., only, from November 1, 2001 through February 28, 2002. A twelve-hour advance notice would be required for all bridge openings. This action is necessary to facilitate structural repairs at the bridge.

DATES: Comments must reach the Coast Guard on or before September 10, 2001.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments to Commander (obr), First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, at 408 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, MA. 02110-3350, or deliver them to the same address between 7 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (617) 223-8364. The First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at the First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, 7 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Yee, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, (212) 668-7165.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments or related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD01-01-0), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related

material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know if they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to the First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

Background

The Monmouth County highway bridge, at mile 4.0, across the Shrewsbury River has a vertical clearance of 15 feet at mean high water and 17 feet at mean low water. The existing regulations are listed at 33 CFR 117.755.

The bridge owner, Monmouth County, asked the Coast Guard to temporarily change the drawbridge operation regulations to facilitate structural repairs at the bridge. This proposed temporary rule would allow the bridge owner to open the bridge at 6 a.m., 10 a.m., 2 p.m., and 6 p.m., only, from November 1, 2001 through February 28, 2002. A twelve-hour advance notice would be required for all bridge openings.

Discussion of Proposal

This proposed temporary change to the drawbridge operation regulations would allow the bridge owner to open the bridge at 6 a.m., 10 a.m., 2 p.m., and 6 p.m., only, after a twelve-hour advance notice is given, while structural repairs are underway at the bridge.

The timed openings and the advance notice requirement are necessary to assist in scheduling repairs and to allow the contractor sufficient time to remove equipment and materials from the bridge in order to provide bridge openings.

The number of bridge openings from November through February in past years have been relatively low. The bridge opening log data for November through February for the past two years is as follows:

	1999-2000	2000-2001
November	68	85
December	31	38